Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/577,734	TAKAGI, KOUJI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thoi V Duong	2871
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) Thoi V Duong.	(3)	
(2) Anthony N. Fresco.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>18 November 2003</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) <mark> applicant's representative</mark>	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 4,10 and 17.		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>JP'509</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	y)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>The Applicant argued that gate signal line and the width of the gate signal line does not are persuasive. The Examiner will reconsider upon receiving</u>	at the auxiliary capacitor portice of the auxiliary capacitor portion of the auxiliary capacitor of the auxiliary capaci	ns are separated from the The Applicant's arguments
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that v	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT O Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse s	e last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THI OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	been filed, APPLICANT IS S INTERVIEW SUMMARY
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Yha dom	June
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)